Sistem Cerdas : PTK – Pasca Sarjana - UNY

Fuzzy Rules & Fuzzy Reasoning

Pengampu: Fatchul Arifin

Referensi:



Jyh-Shing Roger Jang et al., *Neuro-Fuzzy and Soft Computing: A Computational Approach to Learning and Machine Intelligence*, First Edition, Prentice Hall, 1997

Extension principle

A is a fuzzy set on X: $A = \mu_A(x_1) / x_1 + \mu_A(x_2) / x_2 + \dots + \mu_A(x_n) / x_n$

The image of A under f(.) is a fuzzy set B: $B = \mu_B(x_1) / y_1 + \mu_B(x_2) / y_2 + \dots + \mu_B(x_n) / y_n$

where $y_i = f(x_i)$, i = 1 to n

If *f(.)* is a many-to-one mapping, then $\mu_B(y) = \max_{x=f^{-1}(y)} \mu_A(x)$

CSE 513 Soft Computing, Ch. 3: Fuzzy rules & fuzzy reasoning

- Example:

Application of the extension principle to fuzzy sets with discrete universes

Let A = 0.1 / -2+0.4 / -1+0.8 / 0+0.9 / 1+0.3 / 2 and f(x) = x2 - 3

Applying the extension principle, we obtain: B = 0.1 / 1+0.4 / -2+0.8 / -3+0.9 / -2+0.3 / 1 $= 0.8 / -3+(0.4 \vee 0.9) / -2+(0.1 \vee 0.3) / 1$ = 0.8 / -3+0.9 / -2+0.3 / 1

where "V" represents the "max" operator

Same reasoning for continuous universes

Fuzzy relations

– A fuzzy relation R is a 2D MF:

 $R = \{((x, y), \mu_R(x, y)) | (x, y) \in X \times Y\}$

- Examples:

iamel Bouchaffra

Let X = Y = IR+ and R(x,y) = "y is much greater than x" The MF of this fuzzy relation can be subjectively defined as:

$$\mu_{R}(x,y) = \begin{cases} \frac{y-x}{x+y+2}, & \text{if } y > x\\ 0, & \text{if } y \le x \end{cases}$$

if X = $\{3,4,5\}$ & Y = $\{3,4,5,6,7\}$

CSE 513 Soft Computing, Ch. 3: Fuzzy rules & fuzzy reasoning

• Then R can be Written as a matrix:

$$\mathbf{R} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0.111 & 0.200 & 0.273 & 0.333 \\ 0 & 0 & 0.091 & 0.167 & 0.231 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0.077 & 0.143 \end{bmatrix}$$

where $R{i,j} = \mu[xi, yj]$

iamel Bouchaffra

- x is close to y (x and y are numbers)
- x depends on y (x and y are events)
- x and y look alike (x and y are persons or objects)
- If x is large, then y is small (x is an observed reading and Y is a corresponding action)

Max-Min Composition

The max-min composition of two fuzzy relations R₁ (defined on X and Y) and R₂ (defined on Y and Z) is

$$\mu_{R_1 \circ R_2}(x, z) = \bigvee_{y} [\mu_{R_1}(x, y) \land \mu_{R_2}(y, z)]$$

• Properties:

iamel Bouchaffra

- Associativity: $R \circ (S \circ T) = (R \circ S) \circ T$
- Distributivity over union: $R \circ (S \cup T) = (R \circ S) \cup (R \circ T)$
- Week distributivity over intersection:

$$R \circ (S \cap T) \subseteq (R \circ S) \cap (R \circ T)$$

- Monotonicity: $S \subseteq T \Rightarrow (R \circ S) \subseteq (R \circ T)$

 Max-min composition is not mathematically tractable, therefore other compositions such as max-product composition have been suggested

Dr. Djamel Bouchaffra

$$\mu_{R_1 \circ R_2}(x, z) = \bigvee_{y} [\mu_{R_1}(x, y) \mu_{R_2}(y, z)]$$

CSE 513 Soft Computing, Ch. 3: Fuzzy rules & fuzzy reasoning

5 (

Example of max-min & max-product composition

Let R₁ = "x is relevant to y" R₂ = "y is relevant to z" be two fuzzy relations defined on X*Y and Y*Z respectively X = {1,2,3}, Y = {α,β,χ,δ} and Z = {a,b}.

Assume that:

Diamel Bouchaffra

$$\mathbf{R}_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.1 & 0.3 & 0.5 & 0.7 \\ 0.4 & 0.2 & 0.8 & 0.9 \\ 0.6 & 0.8 & 0.3 & 0.2 \end{bmatrix} \quad \mathbf{R}_{2} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.9 & 0.1 \\ 0.2 & 0.3 \\ 0.5 & 0.6 \\ 0.7 & 0.2 \end{bmatrix}$$

The derived fuzzy relation "x is relevant to z" based on R_1 & R_2

Let's assume that we want to compute the degree of relevance between 2 \in X & a \in Z

Using max-min, we obtain:

$$\mu_{R_1 \circ R_2}(2,a) = \max\{0.4 \land 0.9, 0.2 \land 0.2, 0.8 \land 0.5, 0.9 \land 0.7\}$$
$$= \max\{0.4, 0.2, 0.5, 0.7\}$$
$$= 0.7$$

Using max-product composition, we obtain: $\mu_{R_1 \circ R_2}(2,a) = \max\{0.4 * 0.9, 0.2 * 0.2, 0.8 * 0.5, 0.9 * 0.7\}$ $= \max\{0.36, 0.04, 0.40, 0.63\}$ = 0.63

Fuzzy if-then rules (3.3)

Linguistic Variables

- Conventional techniques for system analysis are intrinsically unsuited for dealing with systems based on human judgment, perception & emotion
- Principle of incompatibility
 - As the complexity of a system increases, our ability to make precise & yet significant statements about its behavior decreases until a fixed threshold
 - Beyond this threshold, precision & significance become almost mutually exclusive characteristics [Zadeh, 1973]

- The concept of linguistic variables introduced by Zadeh is an alternative approach to modeling human thinking
- Information is expressed in terms of fuzzy sets instead of crisp numbers
- Definition: A linguistic variable is a quintuple (x, T(x), X, G, M) where:
 - x is the name of the variable
 - T(x) is the set of linguistic values (or terms)
 - X is the universe of discourse
 - G is a syntactic rule that generates the linguistic values
 - M is a semantic rule which provides meanings for the linguistic values

- Example:

iamel Bouchaffra

A numerical variable takes numerical values Age = 65

A linguistic variables takes linguistic values Age is old

A linguistic value is a fuzzy set

All linguistic values form a term set

T(age) = {young, not young, very young, ... middle aged, not middle aged, ... old, not old, very old, more or less old, ... not very yound and not very old, ...}

CSE 513 Soft Computing, Ch. 3: Fuzzy rules & fuzzy reasoning

Dr. Djamel Bouchaffra

 Where each term T(age) is characterized by a fuzzy set of a universe of discourse X= = [0,100]



CSE 513 Soft Computing, Ch. 3: Fuzzy rules & fuzzy reasoning

- The syntactic rule refers to the way the terms in T(age) are generated
- The semantic rule defines the membership function of each linguistic value of the term set
- The term set consists of primary terms as (young, middle aged, old) modified by the negation ("not") and/or the hedges (very, more or less, quite, extremely,...) and linked by connectives such as (and, or, either, neither,...)

mel Bouchaffra

- Concentration & dilation of linguistic values
 - Let A be a linguistic value described by a fuzzy set with membership function $\mu_{\text{A}}(\textbf{.})$

$$A^{k} = \int_{X} [\mu_{A}(x)]^{k} / x$$

amel Bouchaffra

is a modified version of the original linguistic value.

- $A^2 = CON(A)$ is called the concentration operation
- $-\sqrt{A} = DIL(A)$ is called the dilation operation
- CON(A) & DIL(A) are useful in expression the hedges such as "very" & "more or less" in the linguistic term A
- Other definitions for linguistic hedges are also possible

Composite linguistic terms

Let's define

amel Bouchaffra

fine:
NOT(A) =
$$\neg A = \int_X [1 - \mu_A(x)]/x$$
,
A and B = A \cap B = $\int_X [\mu_A(x) \wedge \mu_B(x)]/x$
A or B = A \cup B = $\int_X [\mu_A(x) \vee \mu_B(x)]/x$

where A, B are two linguistic values whose semantics are respectively defined by $\mu_A(.)$ & $\mu_B(.)$

Composite linguistic terms such as: "not very young", "not very old" & "young but not too young" can be easily characterized

Example: Construction of MFs for composite linguistic terms

Let's
$$\mu_{young}(x) = bell(x, 20, 2, 0) = \frac{1}{1 + \left(\frac{x}{20}\right)^4}$$

 $\mu_{old}(x) = bell(x, 30, 3, 100) = \frac{1}{1 + \left(\frac{x - 100}{30}\right)^6}$

Where x is the age of a person in the universe of discourse [0, 100]

• More or less = DIL(old) = $\sqrt{\text{old}} = \int_{V}$

$$\int_{X} \frac{1}{1 + \left(\frac{x - 100}{30}\right)^6} / x$$

• Not young and not old = \neg young $\cap \neg$ old =

$$\int_{X} \left[1 - \frac{1}{1 + \left(\frac{x}{20}\right)^4} \right] \wedge \left[1 - \frac{1}{1 + \left(\frac{x - 100}{30}\right)^6} \right] / x$$

• Young but not too young = young $\cap \neg$ young² (too = very) =

$$\int_{\mathbf{x}} \left[\frac{1}{1 + \left(\frac{\mathbf{x}}{20}\right)^4} \right] \wedge \left[1 - \left(\frac{1}{1 + \left(\frac{\mathbf{x}}{20}\right)^4}\right)^2 \right] / \mathbf{x}$$

Diamel Bouchaffra

• Extremely old = very very very old = $\overline{CON}(CON(old))) =$

$$\int_{x} \left[\frac{1}{1 + \left(\frac{x - 100}{30} \right)^{6}} \right]^{8} / x$$



Dr. Djamel Bouchaffra

Contrast intensification

amel Bouchaffra

the operation of contrast intensification on a linguistic value A is defined by

INT(A) =
$$\begin{cases} 2A^2 & \text{if } 0 \le \mu_A(x) \le 0.5 \\ \neg 2(\neg A)^2 & \text{if } 0.5 \le \mu_A(x) \le 1 \end{cases}$$

- INT increases the values of $\mu_A(x)$ which are greater than 0.5 & decreases those which are less or equal that 0.5
- Contrast intensification has effect of reducing the fuzziness of the linguistic value A



Dr. Djamel Bouchaffra